## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CONRAD V.	MURP	'HY	, Plaintiff,					
			i iaiiiiii,		Civil Action			
KATHLEEN	v. M. DEN		, COMMISSION Defendants.	ONER, et al.,	No. 04-12724-JLT			
				REENING PU 915(e)(2) ANI				
Having comp Sections 191				merits of plair	tiff's complaint pursuant to			
			<u>F</u>	<u>INDINGS</u>				
1.	The complaint is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted:							
	No [	]	Yes 🛛	as to defenda	ant(s) <u>United States</u>			
2.	The complaint seeks relief from a defendant or defendants who are immune from such relief:							
	No E	₫	Yes □	as to defenda	ant(s)			
3.	3. Section 1997e(g)(2) provides that:							
	reply to a complaint brought tiff has a reasonable opportunity							
	42 U.S	S.C. § 1	1997e(g)(2).					
	Is the complaint sufficient to satisfy the pleading requirements for stating cognizable claim and does the plaintiff have a reasonable opportunity to prevail on the merits against one or more defendants?							

a. Yes	☐ The Court has so de	etermined and found as to defendant(s):					
b. No C		nas determined that the complaint does requirements for stating a cognizable					
	☐ all defendants	the defendant(s)					
c. No $\square$	plaintiff will prevail o	because the Court has determined that the likelihood that plaintiff will prevail on the merits falls short of the "reasonable opportunity" standard of the statute, as to claims against:					
	☐ all defendants	☐ the defendant(s)					
d. Cann	ot say						
×		It is not feasible for the Court to make a determination on these questions on the present record as to claims against:					
	☐ all defendants	the defendant(s) Kathleen M.  Dennehy, David Nolan, Joseph D.  Cummings, Keven M. Ohearn, Mike  DiNapoli, Ann Marie Aucion, Fran  Berghaus, and Michael H. Sheehan.					

## <u>ORDERS</u>

Based upon the foregoing:

1.	The Clerk shall issue summonses and the United States Marshal serve a copy of the complaint, summons and this order as directed by the plaintiff with all costs of service to be advanced by the United States?								
		No□	Yes D		_		all defendants		
					<u> </u>  - 	Denne Cumn DiNap	is to defendant(s) <u>Kathleen M.</u> ehy, David Nolan, Joseph D. nings,Keven M. Ohearn, Mike poli, Ann Marie Aucion, Fran aus, and Michael H. Sheehan.		
2.	date of Court that p	Clerk shall dismiss this action unless, on or before the 42nd day from the of this Order, plaintiff has filed a submission showing good cause why the art should find that the pleading is sufficient to state a cognizable claim and plaintiff will have a reasonable opportunity to prevail on the merits of ntiff's claims against defendant(s)?							
		No⊠	₫	Yes □			as to all defendants		
							only as to defendant(s)		
3.	a.	complaint,	are the curt in read	lefendan	it(s) inv prompt	vited b final d □	en served with a summons and out not required to file an answer to disposition on the merits?  as to all defendants		
							only as to defendant(s)		
	OR				-				
b. If the defendant(s) have been served with a summer the defendant(s) required to reply within the time summons?						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
		No□	]	Yes ⊠			as to all defendants		
							only as to defendant(s)Kathleen M. Dennehy, David Nolan, Joseph D. Cummings, Keven M. Ohearn, Mike DiNapoli, Ann Marie Aucion, Fran Berghaus, and Michael H. Sheehan.		
<u>4/20</u> DATE	0/05 =						<u>Tauro</u> ATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
$\cup \land \vdash \vdash$	-			(	ו ואוכ	$ u \circ \iota_f$	TILO DIOTINIOT JUDGE		